G R S IX-51

Previous references

1924.20

(Mur)

File No. ~~638~~ 635

Serial Nos. 6 [illegible]

Later references

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos 6

Part B for

SUBJECT

Misc papers regarding the Murgi Matt going in procession in front of the Sri Sivaganga matt

WD 2288 C PL—20,000 11 6 20

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

(Change of the Notes in this file)

N B - Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

N B — Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

The file containing the originals of the Karnal endorsement dated 8th August 1907 and of the letter of Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug of the same date has now been traced [illegible] up herewith. It was, after considerable search, found in the old Secretariat records.

43.43
2.7.20

Second Member and Dewan

30/6/20

SESN.

I have no remarks to offer now having given ex-post reasons to my views already on the main file.

2.7.20

4/2/7/20

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Recd. on JUL 3 1920
Reg. No. 102

[illegible]

4.7.20

For kind perusal

119-119
5.7.20

Second Member)

5.7.20

Papers may be Recorded.

SESN.

6.7.20

6/7/20

N B —Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

N B—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

No 658 - of 1907-8
Papers flagged Y & Z:

No 659 of 1907-8

N B Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

Note

The originals of the Kanarese Endorsement & letter to Dr Thibaldrug. - both dy 9 Aug 07 - have since been found in another file of papers which was found in the old Secretariat records.

The file is put up herewith, together with another* file of miscellaneous papers relating to the Mungi Matt.

AUL 29/6

Second member

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

N B —Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Bangalore Dy Comrs D.O.
No. OC 2744 dt 21.5.20
Sending copy of the Dy
Comrs endorsement
issued to the Agent of
the Mangi matt in
Subt for kind perusal

[signature]
21/5/20

~~The Dy Comrs~~
Second Member

[signature]
21-5-20

7160-107
21-5-1920.

Second Member's Orders:
Seen. The accompanying
may be placed in the
connected file submitted
to the Dewan today.

Recd at
1 P.M.
22/5/20

[signature]
21.5.20

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

N B —Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

G. R. S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 635 of 1929.

Serial No.

To D.C. Bangalore

No. 2571 D. 24. 1. 21

Returns as desired the papers recd from him in the matter of Mudge Swami's procession at Sivaganga

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

14.6.2

మైసూరు సంస్థానము దివాణము వారి పట్టా

నా సంగతి

ఈ శిక్షకనరులు మైసూరు రాజువారు శ్రీరంగపట్టణ అధికారి గారు శ్రీరంగపట్టణ అధికారి గారు శ్రీవ్యాధరాయపురము అధికారి గారు శ్రీరంగపట్టణ ప్రజాస్వామి గారు వరపురము అధికారి గారు, పిరశాంతిమఠ అధికారి గారు, ఆదనావుగారు మొదలగు వారల్లు అరిశిమ్మ రిరిమల్లు పుదీ సంవత్సరి 1907 సం॥ వారి ఆ నెల్ల 9 నేదీ కొంగు మనల్లి మైసూరు సర్కారదింద నన్ను మరగళిగె కెళగిరువి నశాంతిరద పురిరుంపురిశాంత అంగాంయి తరపురులగళుంద వరు గిజాస్వామి గారు వరు నన్ను గళవరు మరగళు మంది నాంకనా రుం త్రిరాంగిరుం సాంప్రదాయపేరరాంగిరుం శ్రీంగవరిశ్రీ దల్లి నేందు కుర్మార దవరుం మాంతోల్ అనుగరిసి నిజాంతరవాగి శిరస్తాదారుం రిపురిరుం శ్రీంరప్పట్టి దీ. శిరస్తాదారు గ్రామ్యారు ఈనదద దరిసువుదు గిజాస్వామి గారు శివరంగె లుంగె కెళిసి అల్లిరువ శ్రీరంగపట్టణ శివరంగె స్వామి గారదవరు మరగళు మాడిదరు అంగె కెళిసి శ్రీంగవలు ఉప్పుగి శ్రీంరిగదిరిశిరుంగిదాంగి నర్కారదల్లి అధికారి గళు ఖరీదు శ్రీంరిగదవరు వంకె లుంగు మత్తు అల్లిదే విషయగళు దల్లి శ్రీరంగపట్టణ వరదల అధికారి గళు కరేరాంగలు ఉపాధికారిగళవరు కెళిదు మనవరు కొట్టదే. ఈ విచారదల్లి నమగె కొట్టదవరు మాడ్డ బహుదాంద కెలవు కార్యగళు నమ్మనవు మరగళిగూ కొంబుం కాంప్రదాయగళు మాడుత్తిద్దవాగి నమగె కరేదవరు నమగె కరేదు శ్రీంగ్రహిసిదరు అల్లదే కొంగు బహుదు బుద్ధిగె. ఇరువత్తిందల ఈ అర్జియన్ను బరెదు శ్రీంగ్రహిసువుదు కరేయలు బందిద్దే. మత్తు నాను నమ్మ మరగళల్లి వందు మరదల్లి మగళు మంచిద్దాగిదువరెగె ఏనాదరూ కొట్టిరద కర్మగళల్లి మత్తు శ్రీంగ్రహిసువుదు కాణదాగుత్తిరువుదు గమనిసిద్దీరి ఇల్లదే ఇరువాగ అంగాంయి కరపత్రదవరు గిజాస్వామి గారు శివరంగె లు మత్తు నమ్మ మగళు వాగి నేను కేళుత్తేనె నమగె

తన్నళనుం అర్హంత బంధుశిష్యాదులు వగైరా వుద్దేశ్యం
న ఈ విషయంపై ఆశాంతిగదవరుంగుంవదాంచు వృత్తి
అనుగా రీంతు వ్రదత్తిమ్మంవర్ధిగాంచి ఎంగ్లిషు
నవలాంచు ఇరువ 1907 నేలంగి ఆదివ్వ 1 నేలకాంలు
ప్రకారం రీంతు వాంచన ఉగ్రిరీంతు ఉంచం లేదు వల్లరఉం
వాంయి నళ్ళన్ను కేళ్ళిమ్మా ప్రదత్తి అవకాశవన్నకొంతవలే
నిందు ఈ ఉజ్జి మూంల్ల కేళ్ళిమాంళ్ళుక్కేలే - ఇదు ఉర్గ్రే
తవరు గుర్తిస్తుంయి వాంద్రాంద నళ్ళ నళ్ళ వారనళ్ళ ఇరతత్తి
గ్నవిదు, ఇంది నతిలేదినళ్ళు, మూంకాం దాంయిలేనళ్ళ నిగ్గి
ఉగవాంలన్ను కిళ్ళిగి వ్రదత్తి బందు కిలరంగు, కాంలయి, కేమి
ద్ధ బేకింబరువాం కిల్ల రూంనళ్ళ నళ్ళ వారనళ్ళరరవాంగి
నిగంయిమ్మార్థశాంగి శిగ్రదిగ్గిగుక్కేలే

13-6-20

బింగళం రు.

రత్నిరారరాగ గాలరగ్గ
అగారరగంగ్రిలాగాగ్గ
తుంగ్రంగ్రరాగాగ

నిలాగాణి రాగ గాగ గాగ
రాగాంధిగరాగాగ గ్రగ్గ
గ్రగాగాగాగ్గ

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

D.O. No: OC.2744
Enclo: one

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.
Dated BANGALORE, 21st May 1920.

Dear Sir,

Your D.O.No.4070 of even date. I enclose herein a copy of the endorsement issued to the Agent, Murgi Matt, re the Swamy's intended visit to Sivaganga. It has been ascertained that my predecessor Mr. Aravamudu Iyengar saw the Swami in person on the 27th ultimo and explained to him the orders of Government in the matter.

Yours sincerely,

M.A. Narayanaganga

To

A. Mrityunjaya Iyer, Esquire, B.A.,
Under Secretary to the Government of Mysore.

this form.

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

N B —Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

[illegible]

శ్రీ [illegible]

[illegible] –

శ్రీ [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

(Sd) G. Aravamudu Iyengar
Dy. Comr
27.4.70

Copy: M.A. [illegible]
Dy. Comr
21.5.1970

G.R.S. 111—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Jt. No: 1
Put c/file

Office of the Deputy Comm.,
Bangalore District
13. 6. 1920.

Dear Sir,

I have received your letters no. 4243 of the 11th instant, Muz 635-19 and no. 4265 of the 12th instant. Muz 635-19

2. As desired, I am sending the enclosed records and the sketch returned with your office no. 3707 Muz 635-19-2 dated the 24th April 1920, about the visit of the Swamy of

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

of the Murgi Mutt to Sivaganga.

Yours sincerely

M.A. Narayana.

T. E. Jeyaramayya Esq.
B.A. B.L.,
Secretary to the
Govt of Mysore,
Muzrai Department,
Bangalore

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

N B Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

NB.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

Routine Notes:

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc. not intended for permanent record

N B Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

Copy of D.O. letter No. O.C. 2744/19-20 dated the April 1920 from the deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District to the Amildar, Nelamangala.

Your letter dated 7th April 1920 re the visit of Murugi Mat Swamy to Shivaganga. I have also received a communication from Swamy today which I send herewith for perusal and return. The main object of the Swamy is the visit to the houses of Shetties and Yajamans of the race and we must know the situation of these buildings in relation to the Mat. believe there are two entrances Matt, the main entrance

Swamy's visit does

in procession in fr

[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] I

believe here [illegible] no valid objection

on the part of Math. people Please

Study the question and write to

me immediately with a sketch show-

ing the Math its entrances and

the [illegible] [illegible] the [illegible] Shivachar

people [illegible] [illegible] visited by the

[illegible] [illegible] matter

D.O. No 147
16.6.20
Enc one

Chitaldrug.

16th June 1920.

Dear sir,

As desired in your D.O.No.4290/Muz 535-19 of yesterday's date, I herewith send a copy of Muzrai Secretary's letter No. 713 dated 9th August 1907 regarding the procession of the Swami of the Murgi Matt in Chitaldrug Town.

Yours sincerely,

T. E. Jayarama Iyer Esquire B.A.,B.L.,
Secretary to Government, Muz: Dept:
B A N G A L O R E.

Letter No.713 dated 9th August 1907 from the Secretary to Government, Muzrai Department. to the Deputy Commissioner. Chitaldrug Dist:

Referring to Government Proceedings No.5547-58/Muz.F.53-97 dated 28th May 1907, directing the continuance of certain Birudus or paraphernalia to the Murugi Matt at Chitaldrug, I am directed to state that a number of memorials addressed to His Highness the Maharaja and to the Dewan objecting to the grant of the said paraphernalia have been received in this office for disposal.

2. The representatives of the five Matts of Uttaradi, Vyasaroya, Raghavendraswami, Sringeri, Kudli Sringeri and Parakalaswami were heard in person by the Dewan on the 7th instant and an endorsement was granted to the petitioners as per copy herewith put up.

3. In inviting your careful attention to the terms of the endorsement forwarded with this letter, I am directed to request that you will personally see that although the Swami of the Murugi Matt is permitted to pass through the streets of Chitaldrug, still the manul rule obtaining everywhere in the State to the effect that music and other similar demonstrations should be stopped in front of other institutions such as Matts, temples, mosques and Churches, is strictly observed.

).51 dated ·8-1907.

4. Your endorsement granted to the petitioners seems to show that you are under the impression that the Rahadari granted to the Murugi Matt empowers the Guru thereof to pass with his paraphernalia anywhere and everywhere without any restriction whatever. I am to point out that the Rahadari is subject to all restrictions which according to manul and the existing orders have to be observed in the matter of stoppage of music and so on.

5. The measures to be adopted for preventing a possible breach of the peace are of course within your powers as District Magistrate and have to be carried out when necessary.

6. Petitioners represent that the Murugi Matt people are arranging to have Vyasana Tholu attached to the Nandi Dhwaja and paraded in the streets and that it is probable that they will sing praises which

would ten wound the feelings of other communities. You will see that Vvasana Tholu is not mentioned in the Rahadari now granted to the Matt. I am to request you to advise the Swami not to resort to such objectionable acts as he would thereby incur the displeasure of the Government.

7. I am to request you to communicate the purport of these views to the Amildars of the Taluks in your District through which the Swami might pass and also to kindly report to Government that the Swami's visit to Chitaldrug is accomplished without disturbance of any kind.

%%%%%%%%%%%%%%

" C O P Y."

Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug District.

Extra of the Tour Register.

No.713 dated 9-8	Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District.	Issuing instructions in the matter of the visit of the Swami of the Murgi Matt to Chitaldrug.
No.714-20½ dated 9-8.	Deputy Commissioners of other Districts.	Forwards copy of above for information and Palace Controller.

Letter No.713 dated 9th August 1907 from the Secretary to Government, Muzrai Department, to the Deputy Commission Chitaldrug District.

Referring to Government Proceedings No.5547-58/Muz.F. 58-97 dated 25th May 1907, directing the continuance of certain Birudus or paraphernalia to the ~~Muzrai~~ Murgi Matt at Chitaldrug, I am directed to state that a number of memorials addressed to His Highness the Maharaja and to the Dewan objecting to the grant of the said paraphernalia have been received in this office for disposal.

2. The representatives of the five Matts of Uttaradi, Vyasaraya, Raghavendraswami, Sringeri, Nudli Sringeri and Parakalaswami were heard in person by the Dewan on the 7th Instant and an endorsement was granted to the petitioners as per copy herewith put up.

3. In inviting your careful attention to the terms of the endorsement forwarded with this letter, I am directed to request that you will personally see that although the Dewani of the ~~Muzrai~~ Murgi Matt is permitted to pass through the streets of Chitaldrug, still the manual rule obtaining everywhere in the State to the effect that music and other similar demonstrations should be stopped in front of other institutions such as Matts, temples, mosques and Churches, is strictly observed.

No. 51 Dated 1-8-1907

4. Your endorsement granted to the petitioners seems to show that you are under the impression that the Dahakari granted to the ~~Muzrai~~ Murgi Matt empowers the Guru thereof to pass with his paraphernalia anywhere and everywhere without any restriction whatever. I am to point out that the Dahakari is subject to all restrictions which according to manual and the existing orders have to be observed in the matter of stoppage of music and so on.

The measures to be adopted for preventing [illegible] breach of the peace are of course within your power [illegible]

as District Magistrate and have to be carried out when - - necessary.

6. Petitioners represent that the ~~Muzrai~~ Murgi Matt people are arranging to have Vyasara Tholu attached to the Nandi Dhwaja and paraded in the streets and that it is probable that they will sing praises which would tend to wound the feelings of other communities. You will see that Vyasara Tholu is not mentioned in the Bahadari now granted to the Matt. I am to request you to advise the Swami not to resort to such objectionable acts as he would thereby incur the displeasure of the Government.

7. I am to request you to [illegible] the purport of these views to the Amildars of the Taluks in your District through which the Swami might pass and also to [illegible] report to Government that the Swami's visit to Chitaldrug is accomplished - - without disturbance of any kind.

"C O P Y"

Letter No.713 dated 9th August 1907 from the Secretary to Government, Muzrai Department, to the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District.

Referring to Government Proceedings No.5547-58/Muz.F. 58-97 dated 28th May 1907, directing the continuance of - - certain Pirudus or paraphernalia to the Muzrai Matt at - - Chitaldrug, I am directed to state that a number of memorials addressed to His Highness the Maharaja and to the Dewan - - objecting to the grant of the said paraphernalia have been received in this office for disposal.

2. The representatives of the five Matts of Uttaradi, Vyasaraya, Raghavendraswami, Sringeri, Kudli Sringeri and Parakalaswami were heard in person by the Dewan on the 7th Instant and an endorsement was granted to the petitioners as per copy herewith put up.

3. In inviting your careful attention to the terms of the endorsement forwarded with this letter, I am directed to request that you will personally see that although the Swami of the Muzrai Matt is permitted to pass through the streets of Chitaldrug, still the mamul rule obtaining everywhere in the State to the effect that music and other similar demonstrations should be stopped in front of other institutions such as Matts, temples, mosques and Churches, is strictly observed.

4. Your endorsement granted to the petitioners seems to show that you are under the impression that the Bahadari granted to the Muzrai Matt empowers the Guru thereof to pass with his paraphernalia anywhere and everywhere without any restriction whatever. I am to point out that the Bahadari is subject to allrestrictions which according to mamul and the existing orders have to be observed in the matter of - - stoppage of music and so on.

5. The measures to be adopted for preventing a possible breach of the peace are of course within your powers as - -

as District Magistrate and have to be carried out when - necessary.

6. Petitioners represent that the Muzrai Matt people are arranging to have Vyasana Tholu attached to the Mandi Dhwaja and paraded in the streets and that it is probable that they will sing praises which would tend to wound the feelings of other communities. You will see that Vyasana Tholu is not mentioned in the Bahadari now granted to the Matt. I am to request you to advise the Swami not to resort to such objectionable acts as he would thereby incur the displeasure of the Government.

7. I am to request you to communicate the purport of these views to the Amildars of the Taluks in your District through which the Swami might pass and also to kindly report to Government that the Swami's visit to Chitaldrug is accomplished - - without disturbance of any kind.

"C O P Y"

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

READ—

Government Proceedings No. 1219-26, dated 16th September 1908, passing orders on the report of the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, about the visit of the Swami of the Murgi Matt to Honnavalli and Tiptur.

Petition No. D 46, dated 27th October 1908, presented by Gubbi Yajman Revanna and other Lingayets, complaining of the order passed by the local authorities about the processions of the Murgi Swami

Letter No. 203, dated 7th November 1908, from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, reporting on the points urged in the above petition.

Memorial, Registered No. D 180—Muz. F. 65-08-7, dated 19th November 1908, from Mr. Mallappa, Warad, Chairman of a public meeting convened at Sholapur, praying for the revival of the Addapalki and Panchakalasa honors to the Murgi Matt and protesting against the orders conveyed in the Government Proceedings of the 16th September 1908 read above regarding local *mamuls*

No. 450-9—MUZ. 65-08-9, DATED BANGALORE, 12TH DECEMBER 1908.

ORDER THEREON.—In accordance with the Rahadari issued by Government in June 1907, the Swami of the Murgi Matt went in procession in the town of Chitaldrug and in some of the important places in the districts of Chitaldrug, Shimoga, Kadur and Hassan.

2. In July last, he intimated to the Deputy Commissioner of Tumkur, without giving particulars of the dates and places of visit, that he proposed to visit his district and requested that the necessary orders in the matter might be issued to his subordinate officers. Honnavalli was the first camp of the Swami in this district and he came there on the 23rd August.

3. Some days previous to the arrival of the Swami, the Brahmins and others of Honnavalli presented a petition to the Amildar, asserting certain rights which, they requested, should be guarded against violation by the Lingayets. The Amildar held an enquiry and submitted the records to the Deputy Commissioner who however could pass no orders before the date of the Swami's visit of which he was apprised only on the preceding day. He sent the Superintendent of Police to the spot at once and the latter, finding that the preparations for the Swami's reception had far advanced, dissuaded the non-Lingayet population from making a hostile demonstration. On the following day, the Swami's procession went off without any hitch.

4. The Swami stopped at Honnavalli for a few days. The Brahmins and others then made representations, urging that the matter should be enquired into and their rights decided. An enquiry was started by the local officers whereupon the Matt people complained to the Government about the detention of the Swami at Honnavalli. An immediate report was called for by Government from the Deputy Commissioner.

5. Upon receiving his report which was an exhaustive one and dealt fully with the facts the Government in their order of the 16th September 1908 generally approved of the Deputy Commissioner's action and left questions of local mamul to be disposed of by him as he deemed proper.

6. In the meantime complaints began to be received from the Brahmin and other inhabitants of the places which, it was expected the Swami would visit, and the District Magistrate in consideration of the situation passed an order on 5th September 1908 to the effect that Amildars and Deputy Amildars should, from time to time, ascertain from the Matt their next destination and communicate particulars of all *taharis* to the Assistant Commissioner Magistrate of the Sub-Division who should, in consultation with the Superintendent of Police, prescribe the route of the procession.

7. Subsequent to the issue of the Government order of the 16th September 1908, the Superintendent of Police, in consultation with the Deputy Commissioner, sent instructions to the Amildar of Gubbi, which place the Swami was about to

visit, regarding the conduct of the procession there. The Amildar communicated these instructions to the Agent of the Swami who was then at Dodguni, a small village in the Gubbi Taluk. As the Swami was not in a position to comply with these instructions, the Yejman and other Lingayets of Gubbi were not able to procure his visit to their place and have accordingly approached Government with a complaint about the action of the local officers.

8. The Government observe that His Highness the Maharaja having considered the Matt worthy of the honors which he was graciously pleased to revive and which have been referred to in the Rahadari, the Swami has a general right to go in procession with such honors; and that it is the duty of all officers to uphold this right. The Rahadari is conclusive evidence of the right of the Matt to go in procession with the insignia along public streets except to the extent to which such right may be in conflict with express orders of Government or Judicial decisions regarding the rights of other communities.

9. In this connection, it is necessary to explain with reference to paras 3 and and 4 of the Muzrai Secretary's Letter No. 713, dated 9th August 1907, to the Deputy Commissioner of Chitaldrug, that Government had in view merely the reciprocal rights of the people of one persuasion to have music stopped in front of their places of worship by people of other persuasions and did not intend to convey the idea that one sect of Hindus passing in procession should stop music in front of a temple belonging to another sect of Hindus.

10. In the present case the Swami of the Murgi Matt has passed in procession through a number of important places in the districts of Chitaldrug, Shimoga, Kadur and Hassan without his right to pass with music being challenged; and the Chitaldrug Deputy Commissioner's report No. 165, dated 25th September 1907, clearly goes to show that in the town of Chitaldrug music was not stopped when the Swami passed in front of a number of Brahmin temples. The contention that the Swami should stop music when passing in front of temples is, as already pointed out, untenable.

11. There appears also to be some misapprehension with regard to the weight to be given to assertions of local mamul. It is hardly necessary to point out that when referring to questions of mamul in regard to processions, etc., Government meant merely that mamul based upon Judicial decisions or previous orders of Government should be respected and not that a procession otherwise in order should be held up merely because some one chooses to set up a mamul which remains to be newly enquired into and decided.

12. The arguments adduced by the Deputy Commissioner in support of the prohibition of the chanting of Vedas by the Lingayets in the public streets are not convincing to Government. It is not asserted by any one that the chanting of Vedas is indulged in by the Lingayets to insult or annoy anybody. The Dharmashastras may prohibit a Brahmin from hearing the Vedas chanted by a Lingayet; but such chanting in the public streets violates no right of the Brahmin which can be upheld by Government or by a Court of Law. Government therefore direct that there should be no prohibition of the chanting of the Vedas by the Lingayets in the public streets.

13. The Brahmins and others object to the Swami styling himself "Jagadguru" to these words being inscribed on arches and to the parading in the procession of a flag on which the word "Jagadguru" is inscribed. The Deputy Commissioner is of opinion that the word "Jagadguru" is a title and that it is for Government to consider whether or not it should be recognized. As there is no express reference in the Rahadari to a flag with this inscription, he has prohibited the parading of such a flag.

14. Government cannot accept the Deputy Commissioner's view that the word "Jagadguru" as used by the Murgi Matt constitute a title. They see no objection to the Swami styling himself "Jagadguru" and to his disciples praising him as such. They agree however with the Deputy Commissioner in thinking that a flag with such an inscription constitutes an insignia, and they upheld his action in prohibiting this on the ground that it is not authorized by the Rahadari.

Muzrai, dated 12th December 1908.

15. The action of the Deputy Commissioner in calling upon the Matt to furnish a programme before hand is well meant and the Matt would be well advised in complying with his request, so that necessary arrangements may be made for the proper conduct of the procession. Seeing that there was strong opposition both before and after the revival of the honors, the Matt should still expect some opposition in places which it has not visited for years together. The Deputy Commissioner's second report shows that he only wants a rough programme. This in the opinion of Government can well be furnished and the Deputy Commissioner will no doubt see that no inconvenience is caused to the Swami on this account.

16. As regards the prayer of the Sholapur public for the revival of the honors of Addapalki and Panchakalasa in favour of the Matt, the chairman of the meeting will be informed that the matters have been disposed of after careful consideration and cannot be re-opened.

K. S. CHANDRASEKHARA AIYAR,
Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

To—The Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District.
The Deputy Commissioners of other Districts.
The Agent of the Murgi Matt.
Mr Mallappa, Warad, Chairman of the meeting held at Sholapur

Exd.—C. P. S.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.

R e a d:--

Correspondence ending with letter, No.5707 dated -- 24th April 1920, to the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, requesting him to invite the attention of the authorities of the Murgi Matt to the order contained in Endorsement, No.755 dated - 9th August 1907, which was communicated to several Matts in the -- matter of the visit of the Swami of the Matt to Sivaganga, Melamangala Taluk.

(2) Petition, Registered No.96 dated 18th May 1920, from -- the Lingayet residents of Sivaganga, praying for cancellation of the order communicated to the Matt by the Deputy Commissioner on the ground that it was silent about the Order of Government dated 12th December 1908, issued subsequent to the Endorsement dated -- 9th August 1907 and that, under paragraphs 8,9,10 and 11 of the later order, the present objection to the passing of the Swami of the Murgi Matt in procession with insignias in front of the Sivaganga Matt, was quite an untenable one.

Order No.508/Muzrai 635-19-4.
Dated Bangalore, the 9th August 1920.

Government observe that Order No.450-9/Muz.65-08-9 ---- dated 12th December 1908, merely lays down that the Matt has every right "to go in procession with the insignia along public streets except to the extent to which such right may be in conflict with express orders of Government or judicial decisions regarding the rights of other communities" and explains the instructions conveyed to the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District, in the -- Muzrai Secretary's letter No.713 dated 9th August 1907, only in -- so far as temples are concerned. There is no mention in it of a Matt belonging to the same or any other sect. The order of 12th

December 1908 cannot, therefore, be regarded as finally disposing of such cases as the present one. In the first place, it does not call in question, or dispute, the existence of the well-known custom-long recognized and repeatedly affirmed by the Government-viz., that the Swami of one Matt shall not pass in procession -- with music, etc., in front of the Matt of another Swami; and in the second place, as already stated, the Government order of 12th December 1908, only refers to the Murgi Swami going in procession in front of temples or other places of worship and no mention is made of Matts at all.

2. Instances are not wanting too, which go to emphasise -- the great importance which has been attached to the custom in ---- question; and the Government order of 12th December 1908, cannot be allowed to set it aside altogether.

3. Having regard to the great importance attached to this custom in the matter of one Swami going in procession before the Matt of another Swami - even among Matts of the same sect - Government consider it very necessary to enforce a strict observance of this custom and to insist on complete reciprocity in this matter with a view to avoid a frequent source of inter-sectarian friction.

4. For these reasons, Government regret that they cannot - allow the Murgi Swami to pass in procession in front of the Sivaganga Matt at Sivaganga though the Sivaganga Swami is not himself in residence there at present. Subject to this condition, there is no objection to the Murgi Swami going to Sivaganga if he wishes to do so.

He petitioners will be informed accordingly.

Sd. M.A.Jayaraja Aiyar,
Secretary to Government,
Mozrai Department.

To

The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, with copy of endorsement issued to petitioners, for information.

HRR-4.

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District,
Dated Bangalore, 7th October 1920.

From-

T.Narayana Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

.E.Jayarama Iyer, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore.
Muzrai Department, Bangalore

118.8

Sir

I have the honor to request you to kindly return the records connected with the procession of Sri Murgiswami at Sivaganga, sent with this office No.O.C.2744 of 19-20 dated 13th June 1920, as the party has applied for the return of documents produced by him.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant.

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

1920

From The Depu
Ban

To The Secre
of

Dated 7. 10. 19

Despatched 8. 10.

Received

General No / No. Oc 27

Enclosure No. nil

Subject

Requests
return the m
Connected
the procession
Sri Murghi
at Sivaganga

G. I—G/32]

SIR .....

No. of letter replied to.....

Section Inzear

File No. 635-19

Serial No.

ERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

( )

the 191 .

No. 2571 Encon. file

ate of issue 24.1.21

Signed by [illegible]

To

The Deputy Commr

Bangalore Distt

Sir,

~~With reference to your letter No 2744/19 20 dated 7th October 1920 requesting the return of Cutan papers connected with the procession of Sri Narayanan at Sivaganga, I am directed to return the records &c.~~

I have etc

Secretary

over.

As desired in your letter No. 2744 of 19.20 of 7th Oct. 20, I am directed to return herewith your office papers connected with the procession of the swamy of the Mangimatt at Sivaganga.

I have &c

[illegible]

[illegible] 7/1/21

[illegible] 12-1-21